
Minutes of the Board of County Commissioners Regular Meeting held on October 01, 2020 at 9:00 AM in the Conejos County Board Room, 6683 County Rd 13, Conejos, CO. 81129

Call the meeting to order:

Chrmn. Jarvies called the meeting to order at 9:00 AM.

Roll Call: Mitchell Jarvies-Chair-Present
Steve McCarroll-Vice Chair-Present
Carlos Garcia-Vice Chair- Present
Tressesa Martinez- County Admn. Present

Also present were: Connie Ricci-HR Director, AnnaBelle Gomez-Deputy Clerk and Recorder and Nick Sarmiento-via ZOOM.

Pledge of Allegiance: Chrmn. Jarvies led everyone in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Prayer: Comm. McCarroll gave the opening prayer.

Approval of Agenda

Motion to approve the agenda as amended: Comm. McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Approval of Minutes

Motion to approve the minutes of the September 17, 2020: Comm. Garcia/Second:Comm. McCarroll, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Motion to approve the minutes of the September 28, 2020 Special Meeting: Comm. McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Approval of Accounts Payables

Motion to approve accounts payables as presented: Comm.
McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Public Comment: None

Administration Correspondence

Tressesa, County Admn. presented a letter of support for Protect Our Neighbor for signature.

Chrmn. Jarvies stated that Valley Wide coalition has been working on this so we can apply for Protect Our Neighbor through the state.

Chrmn. Jarvies proceeded to sign the letter of support.

Tressesa, County Admn. presented the certificates for the ambulances showing that they have been inspected and certified. Chrmn. Jarvies signed the certificates.

Tressesa mentioned that concerning the budgets she will meet with everybody to review the preliminary requests. She would like to meet on the week of the 26th if everyone is available.

Nick Sarmiento-Model Traffic Code

Nick Sarmiento County Attorney stated that he sent the Model Traffic Code to the state for approval, if we don't have them approve it then we don't have the jurisdiction to collect; for the most part if we don't change the rules of the road which we are not, we are just changing the fees on how those will be imposed. He felt that it would not take that long because they are not making major revisions to the Model Traffic Code.

Sheriff Crowther- Report

Sheriff Crowther stated that he does not have a whole lot. He sent the e-mail from the state fire; on our side we are not violating any laws. He mentioned that they did 27 Search and Rescues during the snow fall. Talked about Black and Gray Funds for illegal grows, they did buy a side by. The tool is very handy for their department. He mentioned that they looked at the Traffic Code and the ATV code. It looks good to them.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked if they get any money back from Search and Rescue.

Sheriff Crowther stated that the Sheriff's Office can't get any money. Sometimes when they have bigger rescues people come on their own vehicles those can get reimbursed for gas. He thinks they will get a lot more in their grant when they put in for that at the end of the year.

Sheriff Crowther stated that they will go ahead and meet with Antonito to see what their ideas are.

Blake stated that they will be doing a concealed carrying permit class on October 11th at 8:00 AM.

The board recessed for a few minutes.

The board came back on session and continued with Nick Barela-DSS.

Nick Barela-Dept. of Social Services

Nick Barela presented a contract for approval. The contract is to enter into contract for a new Conejos County Dept. of Social Service's attorney. The individual selected for the position is Ryan Dunn who is the attorney for DSS in Rio Grande County. He will be splitting up his time between Rio Grande and Conejos County. The request to enter into a contract is for a total of \$75,000 starting the 1st of Oct-20 through June 30, 2021. After that if he is willing to sign into the contract it will be \$100,000 for a full year. Ryan will be time reporting; primarily he will be getting paid from their Child Welfare Block Allocation, they will occasionally pay him from Admn. costs. An add was placed in the newspaper for said position.

Comm. McCarroll asked if Nick Sarmiento-County Attorney reviewed the contract.

Nick Barela said yes he did.

Nick Barela stated that Nick Sarmiento will work with Ryan on the transitioning at the end of October to get everything switched over.

Nick Sarmiento stated that Ryan has 12 years of experience in nothing but DSS work at Rio Grande County; that is her expertise.

Motion to allow our DSS Department to enter into a contract with Ryan Dunn in the amount of \$75,000 from October 1, 2020 through June 30th , 2021: Comm. McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Naomi Keys- County Assessor/Abatements

Sandra Cordova- Deputy Assessor presented a couple of abatements.

Motion to approve the following abatements as presented: Comm. Garcia/Second: Comm. McCarroll, all in favor.

Schedule/Parcel #	Name	Year	Amount
571916206005	John & Vayola Quintna	2018	88.18
571916206005	John & Vayola Quintna	2019	107.38
598106205043	Kimberly A Hiatt	2018	458.02
598106205043	Kimberly A Hiatt	2019	420.98

3-0 Motion carried.

Dr. Cheryl Lovell-President of Adams State

Lori Laske-Alumni Director

Toni Deangelis-TSJC Representative

Lori stated that Dr. Lovell will not be joining them today. Toni and Lori were via ZOOM.

Lori thanked the board for letting them join today to share the Colorado Opportunity Scholarship Initiative- the COSI Grant. TSCJ and Adams State have partnered together for the last three years; today they are coming to ask for support. They are coming in earlier because they understand that a lot of the counties are in the budget process. They are asking for the 21-22 school year so they needed to make sure they had enough time to work with you. The Colorado Initiative is based on providing assessability and affordability to Colorado students. They ask for a match from the counties of dollar per dollar that is determined for free and reduced lunches in the county. The Colorado Department sets up a number. This year the allocation for Conejos County is \$16,801. If we can raise that amount the state will match that. She stated they would help 22-34 students; mentioned that the scholarship criteria is getting longer. She explained the COSI requirements to be eligible; this is to target the kids with the highest needs; they need to be Colorado residents and have graduated from Conejos County Schools and be a current resident. The GPA is at

2.25 but they wanted some flexibility, some students were just a little bit below that 2.25 but were the perfect student. They must attend Adams State or TSJC, full time degree seeking students. They look at continuity and completion. Lori talked about the awarding process. They have changed the award scholarship from 1,000 to 1,500. The program can include academic tutoring, writing studio, assistance with financial aid applications, mentorship, counseling, etc. They are not only giving the student the funds but they are providing support; so what they are asking today is a letter of support addressing their partnership with Adams State and TSJC and a commitment of funds by December 31st. They will need the letter of support by the end of the calendar year. The funds do not need to be paid to them July 01, 2021. Adams State will serve as the fiscal agent.

Toni thanked the board. She stated that the students are the priority. They try to help as many students as possible. They try to give them a little extra support. The one thing that they haven't talked about before is the number of students from each institution that are enrolled. Trinidad State Junior College has a head count of 61 full time degree seeking students; the full time equivalent is 32; that would equate to 32 full time students attending TSJC College. Toni mentioned that Lori had given them the number of students from Conejos County attending college.

Tressesa, County Admn. asked if they could provide the PELL Grant income guidelines to see the qualifications for students to apply.

Lori stated that it is about 14,000 EFC (Expected Family Contribution). She will send that to her. In our area it is a pretty EFC, that is what they determined in our state; she stated that it is a very complicated calculation that they do. It is not what the family has to put forward.

Tressesa stated that she was looking for more of an income guideline. They do have TANF dollars but those are if a family earns less than 75,000.

Lori stated that she can't give her those because that calculation depends how many are in the family, number that are attending college and school. Students need to do the FASA form regardless and then meet with financial aid personnel.

Chrmn. Jarvies stated that the reason Tressesa was asking that is because budgets are tight. We are looking for creative ways to come up

with some money because it is a good program. It would be good if you could come up with some number.

Toni stated that they can check with their financial aid department and maybe based on that threshold they might qualify for financial aid; they will confirm all of that.

Chrmn. Jarvies stated to work with Tressesa; they will get a letter of support to them.

Tressesa stated that they might be able to give them an additional 1,000 this year.

Kurt A Cary Minor Subdivision

Chrmn. Jarvies opened up the public hearing and turned the time over to Linda.

Linda did a ZOOM meeting. She presented the following report:

NAME OF MINOR SUBDIVISION: Kurt A Cary Minor Subdivision

CCLU Case: # 2020-0027

OWNERSHIP/SUBDIVIDER: Kurt A Cary **SURVEYOR:** Reynolds Engineering Co.

LOCATION/LEGAL DESCRIPTION: 31.298 acres situated in a fraction of the NE ¼ of Section 33, Twp. 34 N, Range 9 East NMPM being a division of Tract 2A of the Kurt A Cary Division of Land, Conejos County, Colorado. Physical address is 14998 County Road M.

EXISTING ZONING: Rural **ADJACENT ZONING:** North, South, East, & West Rural w/Ag Use

REQUEST: The applicant is requesting to create 3 lots from one being Tract 2 A which was divided in July of 2017. The applicant was required to apply for the Minor Subdivision as the property was divided through a Division of Land less than 10 years ago.

SITE DESCRIPTION-SEWAGE/WATER/ACCESS/ROADS

Lot 1 contains 1.176 acres- It has a home, well, septic, and existing access from County Road M.

Lot 2 contains 6.000 acres- Ag land, no improvements, existing access from County Rd M. Development will require permits for address, construction, septic and water.

Lot 3 contains 24.122 acres- Ag land, no improvements, existing access from County Rd M. Development will require permits for address, construction, and septic and water.

NOTICE REQUIREMENTS: Notice to surrounding landowners was mailed, notice was published in the Valley Courier and onsite posting was completed.

PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW: The public hearing before the PC was held on 9/16/2020. The Planning Commission made a recommendation for approval provided that the easement on the east boundary is reflected. We had confusion with the east boundary easement. I spoke with the surveyor. The easement is reflected correctly on the survey plat. Martin Reynolds didn't think that changing it would be beneficial. It would probably be problematic if we would try to change it. The confusion is that the ditch on the east boundary is in the County Road 15 Right of Way. No changes were made to the plat presented to the Planning Commission. We are here for final review and approval. Linda had Chris do a presentation.

Chris presented photos of the location on the map, described the location of the ditch, he did the posting on the property, showed photos looking East, South and North. Showed a picture of the Right of Way; described where County Road 15 goes to the property, etc.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked the board if they had any questions.

The board had none.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked Linda if they have adequate notice.

Linda said yes.

Kurt Cary stated that his grandpa Carbutt explained to him that originally that Road 15 did not go clear to Romeo.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked Mr. Cary if he had anything to add.

Mr. Cary didn't have anything to add.

Chrmn. Jarvies opened it up for public comment; asked Linda if they got anything in writing or email.

Linda stated that there were very few surrounding landowners. Gillelands are to the North, Mr. Cary has the property that is right in the center, to the West are the Carbutts, and Jim Paine direct South; she didn't receive anything back.

Chrmn. Jarvies called for anyone to speak for or against, for or against, for or against. There being none, he closed the portion of the public comments.

Motion to approve Resolution C-36-20 Kurt Cary Minor Subdivision:
Comm. McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Chris and Sevetlana Routh- Special Use Review

Chrmn. Jarvies proceeded to call Mr. Routh, he opened up the Public Hearing and turned the time over to Linda-Land Use Adminstrator.

Linda presented the following report:

CASE NO. 2020-0183

APPLICANTS: Chris & Svetlana Routh

BACKGROUND: An application for a lot consolidation was filed with the Land Use Office on August 03, 2020. The property is in the HORCA PUD-residential side and is legally described as Horca Tract 5, Lots 28 and 29, Conejos County. A common description is 29 Pine Court, HORCA, Antonito.

REQUEST: The applicants requested a consolidation of Lots 28 and 29.

ADJACENT ZONING: HORCA PUD- residential to the North, South, East, and West.

APPLICABLE ZONING ORDINANCE: Article 9- Planned Unit Development.

Article 10- Subdivision Regulations, Division 10.7 Subdivision Exemptions-2. Lot Line Revision. These are typically Administrative Review with the Board of County Commissioners final approval. A few years back we completed a consolidation process using the same process. I felt that I needed to treat these applicants the same as the previous ones (Walt & Debra Immer.) The Land Use code allows me to determine what level of review process needed.

The HOA reviewed and approved the Routh proposal as presented.

Survey was completed by Tony at Russell Surveyors & Associates. County Surveyor reviewed and approved.

PLANNING COMMISSION: The Planning Commission hearing was held on 09/16/2020 at 6:45 PM. Mr. Routh appeared by telephone as they were called back to KS for their family to go back to school. The Planning Commission made a recommendation for approval of the lot consolidation as presented.

Linda turned it over to Chris.

Chris showed the photos of the site, the posting, showed photos looking N on Pine, West, East, showed the closest neighbor's location.

Mr. Routh thanked the board for their time. He stated that as on the first hearing they would want to consolidate the lots to remove the setbacks for the line to be in the center of the lots so they could just have one property; that was the reason for asking for the consolidation. It looks like everything is in order; appreciates their time.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked if they had any questions for Mr. Routh.

The board didn't have any questions.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked if they had adequate public notice.

Linda said yes.

Chrmn. Jarvies opened it up for public comment; asked Linda if she received anything by mail or e-mail.

Linda said not she did not. She did mention that she received two notices back from the post office. One was from the Allen Living Trust which was undeliverable and Diane Marie and Donavon Reifinger was also returned as undeliverable.

Chrmn. Jarvies opened it up for anyone that would like to speak for or against, for or against, for or against. There being none Chrmn. Jarvies closed the public comment portion of the hearing and entertained a motion.

Motion to approve Resolution C-37-20 Chris and Svetlana Routh Special Use Review/consolidation of lots as presented: Comm. Garcia/Second: Comm. McCarroll, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Board recessed for a few minutes.

The board came back to session.

Elliott Salazar-SUP-Short Term Overnight Lodging

Chrmn. Jarvies opened up the public hearing and turned the time over to Linda-Land Use Admn.

Linda presented the following:

CASE NO. CCLU-2020-0226 **APPLICANT:** Elliott Salazar, IRA, LLC
BACKGROUND: An application for a Special User Review was filed with the Land Use Office on August 11, 2020. The property is zoned residential and is legally described as: Lot 7 of the Conejos Park Subdivision, Conejos County, Colorado. A common description is 700 Clarke Mountain Lane, (Conejos Ranch Villages) Antonito, CO. 81120
REQUEST: The applicant is requesting a Special Use Permit for short-term overnight lodging.

ADJACENT ZONING: North, South, East and West are all residential.

APPLICABLE ZONING ORDINANCE: Article 4 Zoning, Division 4.2 Zone District Regulations and Article 5 Permits, Division 5.4 Special Use Review.

PUBLIC NOTICE REQUIREMENTS: Notice requirements were met by sending notice to the surrounding landowners within 500'; notices to referral agencies; notice was published in VALLEY COURIER and Onsite posting was posed on the property by Chris.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

PLANNING COMMISSION-The public hearing before the PC was held on 9-16-2020 at 7:15PM. The Planning Commission made a recommendation for approval of the application as presented. (Felipe/Tom-unanimous vote.)

Linda turned the time over to Chris for a site presentation.

Chris showed the location of the site, posting which he did in front of the property, showed the location looking West, North, and East; stated that he only rents the bottom of the cabin.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked the board if they had any questions.

The board didn't have any questions for Chris or Linda.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked if they had adequate notice.

Linda said yes.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked Mr. Salazar if he would present what he wants to do.

Elliott stated that when he first got that property he was very concerned with all of his neighbors. He has put some restrictions on there. He only limits 7 people there now. They have to be inside, there are no outside tents. He has real restrictive check in times; they can check in at 3 but no one check in's after 9. He does not anticipate renting it over a 6 month period during a 12 calendar month. He does not want his place to go commercial. He has a small

fire pit which they are not allowed to use unless they go through him. He is a retired federal agent and he follows the procedures; if he sees that it is dry he is not going to allow that. He listens to his neighbors and welcomes any kind of comment they might have. One thing that was brought up was the fire arms. He does not allow that there; what he does allow if they want to practice archery he restricts a small area so that it doesn't endanger anyone around. He feels sound with what he put together. He rents the bottom part only; he lives on the top part those are his living quarters.

Chrmn. Jarvies opened it up for public comment portion of the hearing, asked Linda-Land Use Admn. if she received anything in writing or emails.

Linda stated that she did receive comments. She will start with Mr. Valencia. It was as follows:

Hello Linda,

I wanted to let you know that I met with Elliott Salazar. He was kind enough to listen to my concerns, and assured me that he has put rules together for renters, and has started a vetting process. He lives close to the property, and gave me his phone number should any issues arise on his property. I also wanted to let you know that I have no problems or issues with Elliott renting out his property. (35 Massey Creek Rd- Antonito, CO.

Linda stated that he had contacted her on September 1st, with some concerns. He asked her if he could contact Mr. Salazar to speak to him.

Linda stated the next letter dated Sept. 15, 2020 was from Jerry and Dorothea DeLapp.

Dear Commissioners:

I write on behalf of my wife and myself concerning the application by Elliott Salazar to change the zoning of Conejos Park Subdivision Lot 7 (aka Clarke Mountain Lane) to legalize its use as an overnight rental property.

We object to the issuance of a special use permit for the following reasons:

1. This has to our knowledge never been an approved use for this property. In fact in past tax bills the county has inserted

flyers documenting that this use is SPECIFICALLY FORBIDDEN in our community. We support the current policy and see no reason that it should change.

2. Mr. Salazar has been apparently illegally operating this property as an overnight rental over the past few months-Airbnb. We submit that you should not reward his apparent disdain for the rules with further economic enrichment.
3. In our opinion Mr. Salazar is not exercising proper supervision of the guests at his property. My wife and I spend most of the 4th of July weekend watching the property be used by a group far exceeding his own advertised occupancy limits, using fireworks, running open campfires despite the drought conditions, and with two travel trailers parked on the property and clearly occupied. This has caused great fear for our safety and safety of our property, and manifestly detracted from our peace of mind and enjoyment of our property.
4. Mr. Salazar is not operating a "BnB"- he doesn't live there. It is an effectively unsupervised motel.
5. There is already more than sufficient overnight rental property in this area. The Romero's historic Conejos Ranch guest facility provides more than enough supervised and well maintained guest accommodations for this small area. Although currently not in operation, this is a temporary condition that is sure to change once a new owner is found. We don't need a "mini motel" on this side of the river when we have a real one directly across the river.
6. We were saddened when the previous owners, our neighbors and friends were forced to move for health reasons. We were, however, excited at the prospect of having a new neighbor. That quickly turned disappointment when it became clear it was being used as an illegal motel. While we admit that so far the guests have not caused anything serious other than fire concerns, those guests are not neighbors. None of those guests have any "stake" in the safety or peace of our community- they lose nothing if they cause a problem- we could lose everything. It only takes one poor rental decision to create havoc. So long as this property is used in this fashion we will never again have a real neighbor there. In closing, again, we object to the conversion of this property to an unsupervised overnight guest use. This proposed use prevents the growth of permanent residency in the canyon, conflicts with already existing business, and significantly detracts from the value of and our enjoyment of our property. Please reject this special use permit.

Sincerely yours, Jerry and Dorothea DeLapp

The next letter was received on Sept. 17th - This email is in reference to Elliot Salazar Special Use request of 700 Clarke Mountain Lane. I have no issues with this request- Tony Gooden 220 Clarke Mountain Lane.

Chrmn. Jarvies opened it up for anyone in room that would like to speak for or against.

Mr. Norman Segel was present. He stated that he lives in Conejos Acres Subdivision which is right on the north side of the river across from Mr. Salazar's property. I am the past president of the HOA for that subdivision although I don't have the authority to speak for the subdivision; today I am speaking for myself. He did have a number of concerns about the property and having seen what happened this summer. The first concern is the road into the property that goes across the bridge comes down in front of Conejos Ranch and goes across the bridge straight to Mr. Salazar's property maybe about 800 feet from the bridge. That is a private road which we maintain with the other subdivisions in that area. We pay for the maintenance, filling in the potholes; the maintenance of the bridge however this summer there was a lot of extra traffic on that road of the visitors/guests staying at Mr. Salazar's house. One concern he has is as homeowner there he doesn't feel like they should pay to maintain that road when it is being used for commercial purposes. His request would be if the county does approve this operation that the county should take over that portion of the maintenance of that road because he doesn't feel it is fair for the homeowners to have to maintain a road for a commercial purpose.

Another concern is that once you start permitting short term rentals, a lot of the subdivisions have covenants that prevent the homeowners from doing short term rentals; but of course covenants can be changed and modified. He gave an example in Santa Fe, NM where Airbnb rentals got going in certain areas the owner occupied residences just kind of evaporated and became taken over by property management companies or in some instances remote landlords that live in the area but not present. That changed the whole fill of the neighborhood and drove out a lot of families because their properties became more valuable as a commercial operation. He did not see Mr. Salazar always in his dwelling this year. It seemed that there were renters there but Mr. Salazar wasn't there. As previously articulated in some of the letters certainly the fire issue is paramount in this area. We have been in drought for many years; generally it is very dry.

That house is right up against the hill-lots of forest right there. We have a big concern about fire and about visitors coming in. If the owner is not there on the premises and people want to have a party they are going to have a party; there will be noise, drinking and fires outside in the fire pit or maybe not even on the fire pit. If you approve this there should be additional conditions for this, insurance and safeguards around this. It is fairly typical in other areas where municipalities or whatever the governing entity is approves the special use for a short term rental they put additional demands on the property owner to make sure there are additional safeguards; we would definitely want to see that. In a nutshell those were the objections that he would have so that if they do approve this I would urge you to put some of those conditions on that Special Use Permit so that we as the adjacent neighbors have some feeling of comfort that things are going to stay under control.

Linda stated that Ms. Basinger had a comment which she gave to Mr. Salazar and she didn't get a copy and she did give her recommendations to Mr. Salazar.

Chrmn. Jarvies stated that they did read it.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked Mr. Salazar if he wanted to address some of the concerns.

Elliott Salazar stated that those were good points that Mr. Segel brought up. He has created a defensible space; no fires will be allowed unless he is notified. His goal is to protect us we all lose if it were to get out of hand; that won't happen. The other part is that if they go into his Airbnb website what you will read there is I don't allow any parties it is specified there. He stated that if he ever observes anything out of hand he will throw them out. He has some neighbors that he gets along with that let him know what's going on when he is at the ranch haying. He does have 2 places that he stays; as far as the road he has a load of gravel that he will put at the entrance at Hwy 17, he is not going to charge anyone; he is going to do that on his own to help that situation. He stated that he enjoys getting along with his neighbors and is open to any suggestions they may have so they may continue harmoniously.

Comm. McCarroll asked Elliott if it would be permissible to ban any outdoor fires if he is not there.

Elliott stated that he wouldn't mind doing that. That is an issue that has been raised by a couple of people. If he is there and it is allowable it's fine; felt that was very reasonable.

Comm. Garcia asked Elliott if he would put that on his Airbnb website along with putting no firearms allowed; Mrs. Basinger brought that up and it looks like you have an agreement on that.

Elliott stated that he is a stickler on that and there are people that are not as responsible as they should be so he would not compromise their lack of skills.

Chrmn. Jarvies mentioned that what Mr. Segal brought up is that they would not want to see a bunch of cabins to be commercially rented. One thing that they do sometimes as part of our Special Use request is that we make them non-transferrable. It would be good as long as you are the owner. If you sold it they would have to come in and re-apply and go through the process.

Elliott stated that he has no issue with that.

Comm. McCarroll stated that concerning the road he would be against the county going in there at all because they would land up having to go through roads all the way up the canyon on all the other subdivisions and as he works with the others keep the road safe.

Elliott stated that when he rents it will be max half of the year. When he rents there is usually only one additional vehicle. He would like to keep a nice road. He has talked to a neighbor and he is willing to share the cost; the first load he will cover that himself. If he sold that property he wouldn't want anyone to inherit any problems.

Chrmn. Jarvies closed the public hearing portion.

Nick Sarmiento-County attorney stated that they might discuss any other restrictions if they want to table it or they can decide today if they are comfortable making that decision he is fine with that.

Motion to approve Resolution C-2020-038 to approve the Elliot Salazar Special Use Permit for a Short Term Overnight Lodging as presented: Comm. McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

Chrmn. Jarvies stated that they will approve the Special Use Permit with some conditions. Those being: the permit will not be transferrable it is for Mr. Salazar only; if he sells the property they will have to come in and apply themselves. He will have to list his house rules on Air BnB, campfires will be banned unless Mr. Salazar is there and as long as there is no fire ban, and there will be no firearms-no shooting on the property. Archery will be acceptable only in the area that Mr. Salazar will specify.

Comm. Garcia stated that Mrs. Basinger brought up the ATV and 4 wheelers but apparently there are posted speed limit signs.

Elliott stated that he does have restrictions on speed limits posted on his website.

Chrmn. Jarvies asked for an amendment to the motion to accept it with those conditions they set forth on their discussion: Comm. Garcia/Second: Comm. McCarroll, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

Motion to approve the Special Use Permit-Short Term Overnight Lodging for Elliott Salazar Resolution C-38-2020 as amended: Comm. McCarroll/Second: Comm. Garcia, all in favor.

3-0 Motion carried.

There being no further business to come before the board, Chrmn. Jarvies declared the meeting adjourned.

ATTEST:



NATHAN RUYBAL

CLERK OF THE BOARD



MITCHELL JARVIES

CHRMN. BD OF COUNTY COMM.